

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT TACOMA

8 RICKY PATU,

9 Plaintiff,

10 CASE NO. C14-5430 BHS-KLS

11 v.

12 SGT. ALEXANDER, PIERCE COUNTY
13 STAFF,

14 Defendants.

15 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
16 RETURN OF FILING FEE

17 Plaintiff Ricky Patu has filed a motion for the refund of his filing fee. Dkt. 25. For the
18 reasons stated herein, that motion is denied.

19 **PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND**

20 On May 29, 2014, the Court granted Plaintiff's application to *proceed in forma pauperis*.
21 Dkt. 5. The Court reviewed Plaintiff's complaint but declined to serve it because it is deficient. The
22 Court ordered Plaintiff to file an amended complaint and provided guidance as to the legal standards
23 that may apply to Plaintiff's numerous claims. Dkt. 6. Plaintiff filed two motions in July, one asking
for appointment of counsel (Dkt. 10) and one asking for an extension of the deadline to file an
amended complaint. Dkt. 11. The undersigned denied the motion for appointment of counsel and
granted an extension until September 12, 2014 for Plaintiff to file an amended complaint. Dkt. 16.
On August 12 and 15, 2014, Plaintiff filed a motion to dismiss and a request to withdraw his

1 complaint. Dkts. 17, 18. On September 23, 2014, the Court dismissed the complaint without
 2 prejudice and this case was closed. Dkts. 19 and 20.

3 **DISCUSSION**

4 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1) states:

5 [I]f a prisoner brings a civil action or files an appeal *in forma pauperis*, the
 6 prisoner shall be required to pay the full amount of a filing fee. The court shall
 7 assess and, when funds exist, collect, as a partial payment of any court fees
 8 required by law, an initial partial filing fee of 20 percent of the greater of-

- 9 (A) the average monthly deposits to the prisoner's account; or
- 10 (B) the average monthly balance in the prisoner's account for the 6-monthly
 11 period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint or notice of
 12 appeal.

13 Title 28 U.S.C. § 1915 does not provide any authority or mechanism for the Court to
 14 waive the payment of a plaintiff's filing fee or to return the filing fee after dismissal of an action.
 15 It is clear that in amending 28 U.S.C. § 1915 with the enactment of the Prison Litigation Reform
 16 Act of 1995, Pub.L. No. 104–134, 110 Stat. 1321 (Apr. 26, 1996) (PLRA), Congress intended to
 17 provide financial disincentives for prisoners filing lawsuits *in forma pauperis*. See *Lyon v. Krol*,
 18 127 F.3d 763, 764 (8th Cir.1997) (“Congress enacted PLRA with the principal purpose of
 19 deterring frivolous prisoner litigation by instituting economic costs for prisoners wishing to file
 20 civil claims. See, e.g., H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 104–378, at 166–67 (1995); 141 Cong. Rec. S14626
 21 (daily ed.) (Sept. 29, 1995) (statement of Sen. Dole)”).

22 “Filing fees are part of the costs of litigation.” *Lucien v. DeTella*, 141 F.3d 773, 775 (7th
 23 Cir.1998). Prisoner cases are no exception. The PLRA has no provision for return of fees that
 24 are partially paid or for cancellation of the remaining fee. See *Goins v. Decaro*, 241 F.3d 260,
 25 261–62 (2d Cir.2001) (inmates who proceeded pro se and *in forma pauperis* were not entitled to

1 refund of appellate fees or to cancellation of indebtedness for unpaid appellate fees after they
2 withdrew their appeals). In fact, “[a] congressional objective in enacting the PLRA was to
3 ‘mak[e] all prisoners seeking to bring lawsuits or appeals feel the deterrent effect created by
4 liability for filing fees.’” *Goins*, 241 F.3d at 261.

5 The decision to file and prosecute this case was made by Plaintiff before he filed this
6 case. Having filed this case, Plaintiff and the Court are both statutorily limited by the strictures
7 of 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s motion for refund (Dkt. 25) is **DENIED**. The
8 Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff.

9 Dated this 27th day of May, 2015.

10
11 
12 Karen L. Strombom
13 United States Magistrate Judge